

CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE

Regular City Commission Meeting  
March 24, 2003

The City Commission met in a formal session Monday, March 24, 2003, at 5:00 p.m. in The Alcazar Room at City Hall. The meeting was called to order by Mayor George Gardner, and the following were present:

**1. Roll Call:**

George Gardner, Mayor/City Commissioner  
Susan Burk, City Commissioner  
William Lennon, City Commissioner  
Errol D. Jones, City Commissioner  
Donald A. Crichlow, City Commissioner

Jack E. Cubbedge, Assistant City Manager  
James P. Wilson, City Attorney  
Timothy A. Burchfield, Director, General Services, Acting City Clerk  
Mark Knight, Director, Planning and Building Department  
Mark Litzinger, Director, Financial Services  
Paul Williamson, Director, Public Affairs  
James Whitehouse, Staff Attorney  
William H. Harding, Director, Public Works  
John Regan, Director, Utilities  
David Shoar, Chief of Police  
James Owens, Fire Chief  
Orfeo Paolini, Sound Technician  
Karen Rogers, Recording Secretary

**2. INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Bishop Carver, Church of Jesus Christ of Ladder Day Saints, presented the invocation, and Commissioner Lennon led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**3. ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS**

**3.A/ Modification of Agenda**

Mayor Gardner recommended moving Item 11.A.1. to be heard after Item 5.A. as the resolution was directly related to the MPO presentation.

**3.B/ Approval of minutes**

The minutes of the City Commission Workshop Meeting of March 5, 2003 and the Regular City Commission meeting of March 10, 2003 were approved as presented.

**4. General Public Presentation and Comments (3 minutes per presentation)**

Robert Burrell, 27 Seminole Drive, requested that the City have a full soil sample test conducted by an engineering firm from the Ponce de Leon Hotel property, if the DEP would not do the testing. He suggested that the soil was questionable concerning contamination,

and the City should be certain before making any decisions.

After a discussion it was determined that the soil would be tested during the normal procedures conducted by the agencies that would be involved with the project, and the PZB would take the matter into consideration.

Pastor Washington, 5 Madison Street, thanked the Commission for their support with the transition of the A Level Christian Community Church and the redevelopment of the community.

Mr. Cubbedge explained that the City had negotiated to allow the Church to remain in the existing location for an additional 90-days while establishing a new location. He said that Pastor Washington would provide the City with the insurance requirements, and there was a provision in the agreement to extend the time period by another 90-days. <sup>1</sup>

Commissioner Jones acknowledged staffs cooperation in the matter.

## **5. PRESENTATIONS AND STAFF REPORTS.**

### **5.A/ Presentation by the Florida Department of Transportation regarding the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO).**

Mr. Cubbedge said the presentation would provide information about a new MPO that would include the City. He noted that County Commissioner Bruce McGuire was available to address the Commission regarding the matter.

Robert L. Parks, Florida Department of <sup>2</sup> Transportation, delivered a power point presentation stating that his intention

<sup>1</sup> Reference City Commission Meeting of 3/10/03, Item 8.F. property acquisitions

<sup>2</sup> Hard copy attached to original minutes

was to provide an overview of the issues involved in a MPO. He said that the FDOT's position was based on regional transportation; therefore it would be easier for them to handle a Regional MPO. He said that the FDOT was seeking feedback from all the local governments before making a final recommendation to the Governor in April. He noted that the FDOT did not have a vote in the matter, as their function was to mediate for the local municipalities that controlled the vote along with the First Coast MPO for Jacksonville and the Governor. The topics addressed in the presentation were as follows:

- Requirements for an MPO
- Organization of an MPO
- Documents Required of a MPO Annually
- PL Funds – Federal, used for Planning Activities & Staffing
- SU Funds – Federal, Urban Area Funds used only in TMA areas
- XL Funds – Federal, Other Urban Area Funds, 5,000-<200,000
- Competition for Funds
- Priority List
- Membership MPO
- Regional MPO
- Schedules
- Agreements by All Parties

Mr. Parks explained that a small MPO consisted of a population ranging from 50,000 to 200,000 and a large MPO or Transportation Management Area was a MPO for a population greater than 200,000. He said that St. Augustine was the smallest MPO with a population of 53,500 while the First Coast MPO, without the St. Augustine area, had a population of 882,000 and if the two merged the population would be 935,000.

Mr. Parks stated that a MPO would have to develop a long range transportation plan every five years for approximately \$300,000. He stated that there was also annual documentation required.

Mr. Parks said that the basic amount of the PL Funds was \$275,000 for each MPO and anything more would be determined by the census population. He said that SU Funds were used in larger MPO areas, for which the First Coast received approximately \$11.7 million dollars annually. He said that 25% was designated for resurfacing leaving approximately \$8.7 million dollars designated for new capacity projects. He pointed out that SU Funds could be spent anywhere in the MPO planning area boundaries, and he explained the options. He said that St. Augustine was currently competing for the XL funds and would continue to do so as part of a small MPO. He said that the XL funds ranged from \$8.44 million to \$10.13 million dollars a years over the subsequent five years, and would be divided between the 17 counties in the district, making the funds scarcer than the SU Funds.

Commissioner Lennon questioned how far the First Coast MPO boundaries extended into St. Johns County.

Mr. Parks replied that the boundary extended to CR 210 and followed the road across the County.

Mr. Parks said that the statutory formula for the funds was based on gas tax and population for each County and measured equity between counties when allocating the funds. He said that during the past 10-years St. Johns County had received over 100% of its funding range due to the number of federal highway facilities in the County.

Mr. Parks said that membership on a MPO was composed of one third County Commissioners, voting members would be elected officials, any authority or agency created by law to perform transportation functions may be provided voting membership, board members may alternate with representatives from other municipalities within the MPO, and a FDOT District Secretary would serve as a non-voting member of the MPO. He reiterated that it would be easier for DOT to coordinate projects if there was a regional MPO to evaluate the entire area.

Mr. Parks said that a new MPO should be functioning within six months after designation, and a long range transportation plan would be due by October of 2005. He noted that local agreements would have to be signed by all parties involved, and all parties involved would have to agree, as there would be common boundaries.

Mr. Parks clarified that for a new St. Augustine MPO to include Northern St. Johns County, it would require a positive vote by the elected officials of the central city representing 75% of the population of the Jacksonville Urban Area. He said that for the First Coast MPO to include St. Augustine in its MPO, it would require a positive vote by the elected officials of the central city representing 75% of the population of the St. Augustine Urban Area. He said that it was confusing because St. Augustine was the central City; however, the 53,000 forming the MPO consisted of the City, City of St. Augustine Beach, and the surrounding County.

Mr. Parks stated that the governor had to agree with the boundaries and the apportionment plans of all MPO's. He said the boundaries could not overlap, and all parties must arrive at a

consensus and sign agreements on the boundaries and apportionment plans. He added that agreements could contain detailed conditions as to how the MPO would operate; therefore the City had a lot to consider. He questioned the main concerns and the possible conditions by which the City would consider joining a regional MPO, and he requested the information in writing. He stated that he would be seeking feedback from St. Johns County and St. Augustine Beach within the next two weeks.

Mayor Gardner questioned how long MPO's had been in existence.

Mr. Parks replied that MPO's had existed in the district since the 1970's.

Commissioner Crichlow questioned whether an MPO dealt with anything other than transportation.

Mr. Parks said that it was basically transportation; however, other things affected transportation when considering the affect of long-term plans.

Mayor Gardner questioned whether it affected sea and air transportation, and he asked for information about the five-year updates.

Mr. Parks replied that MPO's affected Road, Sea, Air, and Transportation Authorities. He said an MPO was updated every five years; however, the Unified Planning Work Program was the budget for the MPO and was updated yearly, as well as the Transportation Improvement Program. He said an MPO required a great deal more coordination with DOT, and the MPO staff was generally active.

Commissioner Jones questioned whether an MPO including the entire County

would not have a population of more than 123,000.

Mr. Parks explained that population was determined by density, or 1000 people per square mile. He said that might change if the St. Augustine boundaries extended to the County line and would probably include another \$20,000 in federal funds.

Commissioner Jones questioned whether there were any other MPO's that split a County, such as the First Coast MPO.

Mr. Parks replied that he did not believe so.

Commissioner Jones suggested that the split County situation indicated poor planning.

Mr. Parks noted that an MPO provided extra funds in the form of Federal Planning money to plan long range transportation issues.

Commissioner Crichlow questioned whether becoming a MPO would affect the funds the City had received for projects in the County.

Mr. Parks said the City might not receive some rural funds, but it would not affect any currently existing funding.

Commissioner Crichlow questioned whether the City, County and St. Augustine Beach would rotate members on an expanded First Coast MPO board.

Mr. Parks stated that in the situation of an extended First Coast MPO, St. Augustine would probably have three representatives on the board and possibly an airport representative as well. He said the issue would be negotiable within the agreements.

Bruce McGuire, 5202 Pheasant Run Court, Ponte Vedra Beach, County Commissioner, District Four, delivered a power point presentation. He clarified that if St. Augustine and the northern half of St. Johns County comprised a MPO the total population would be just fewer than 100,000. He said the existing MPO representation consisted of ten members that would be voting on one of five options for the new MPO organization. He said the City and County were involved with three of those options as follows:

- A. St. Augustine included in the First Coast MPO
- B. Exclude St. Augustine as a separate MPO but include the northern half of the County
- C. Include the entire County

Mr. McGuire stated that as a County they had elected to exercise and endorse the option for a new MPO that would include the entire St. Johns County.

Mr. McGuire said that if they were to join the First Coast MPO the maximum allowed representatives from St. Augustine, St. Johns County would be two—the City Mayor and a County Commissioner. He said that the Airport Authority would be excluded, which was up for negotiation, but the current proposal did not include the authority.

Mr. McGuire stated that the issues he would address were as follows:

- The Regional MPO was not regional
- The Regional MPO did not have the best interest of St. Augustine or St. Johns County at heart
- Funding issues

Mr. McGuire referred to the First Coast MPO staffing and said that it was the same as the Jacksonville transportation

planning staff. He said there were eleven staff members that served Clay, St. Johns and Duval Counties, and if they went into a new MPO Nassau would also join. He said that the staff director was appointed by the Mayor of Jacksonville.

Mr. McGuire said that if the County and City created a new MPO they envisioned a new staff with three members for the County area and the MPO members would determine who would be the director.

Mr. McGuire said that should they join the First Coast MPO the representatives could decide in opposition to the County and construct a St. Johns River Crossing, along with determining the contact points and the type of access/egress. He said that with the First Coast MPO they would not have the right to contest the project to six lane SR 13 from Racetrack Road to the Jullington Creek Bridge, which was in their plans despite the County's protests. He said that the Bridge of Lions plan could potentially be affected and the Multi-Modal Transportation Center, because the MPO would prioritize the funds. He pointed out that the CR 210 overpass had been the County's first priority for the past couple of years; however, it was not even on the First Coast MPO priority list.

Mr. McGuire stated that funding was the least of the County's concern; the real issue was control over a unique County. He acknowledged that there were traffic problems, which they determined resulted from lack of activity rather than poor planning. He said the County did not want to be the catch-all for the Jacksonville transportation problems. He used the Buckman Bridge traffic problem as an example of what the MPO should address, as opposed to constructing another bridge in St. Johns

County. He noted that of the 16 representatives proposed for the Regional MPO, St. Augustine would have one or 6% representation. He said that with a St. Augustine MPO they envisioned a minimum of two County Commissioners, an Airport Authority member, and a St. Augustine and St. Augustine Beach representative, or numerous options that would provide everyone with better representation.

Mr. McGuire stated that he agreed it would be easier for the FDOT if they chose a Regional MPO; however, FDOT did not work with the people of the County on a daily basis trying to resolve the transportation problems. He noted that there were no other split-county MPO's in the state, they did not believe that Duval County could relate to the needs of St. Johns County, and St. Johns County wanted to control their own transportation problems and destiny.

Mr. McGuire stated that thus far St. Johns County, City of St. Augustine Beach, and the St. Augustine/St. Johns County Airport had voted unanimously to support the option. He said that the County had asked the beach and airport to supply a resolution indicating their endorsement and another resolution indicating that they wanted the entire County to be part of the MPO.

Commissioner Crichlow stated that if the City decided to join the MPO the Governor might not approve that decision. He questioned whether Mr. McGuire was aware of the Governors viewpoint on the matter.

Mr. McGuire replied that the Governor was not prone to countywide MPO's, but he was prone to Regional MPO's. However, he pointed out that there was only one Regional MPO in the State, and it was in Orlando. He added that if it

was decided to create a County MPO they could always decide to merge into a Regional MPO in the future; however, if they merged to create a Regional MPO they could not chose to create a County MPO in the future.

Mayor Gardner questioned the timeframe for decision making.

Mr. McGuire stated that the County had started the paperwork for a County wide MPO to submit to the State. He said that the First Coast MPO would be taking a vote on April 10<sup>th</sup>, and everything had to be on the Governor's desk by April 30<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Parks clarified that technically the Governor could not veto a decision; he could state what he wanted and ask the people involved to work it out. He explained that everyone had to arrive at agreement, which would create a lot of going back and forth. He repeated that they could insert considerable detail into the agreement.

Mayor Gardner suggested that the Commission take action.

#### **MOTION**

**Commissioner Burk MOVED to approve Resolution 2003-04. Commissioner Lennon SECONDED the motion.**

#### **VOTE ON MOTION**

**AYES: Burk, Lennon, Jones, Crichlow, Gardner**

**NAYES: None**

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

#### **5.B/ Presentation of the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting.**

Gary Huggett, Masters, Smith & Wisby, presented Mark Litzinger, Director of Financial Services, with a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial

Reporting and acknowledged the staff's dedication to participate in the program. He noted that the award represented an outstanding achievement.

**5.C/ Presentation of the 2001/2002 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.**

Mr. Huggett distributed the 2002 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, CAFR, and said the document contained over 200 pages. He explained that the document was divided into four sections as follows:

- Introduction
- Financial
- Statistics
- Required Letters

Mr. Huggett stated that the document provided a general overview of how the City had performed in 2002. He said that an opinion letter was issued indicating that the financial statements were fairly presented in all material respects, which was the highest level of opinion that his firm could offer. He said the financial statements presented the assets and liabilities of the City, as well as the operating results on a combined and combining format indicating the overall position of the City and each individual fund. He said the information was also in narrative form for those who were not number crunchers. He said the statistical data provided a trend history for comparison, and the letters were required to form a complete CAFR.

Commissioner Lennon questioned whether the report was in a new form.

Mr. Huggett said that there would be substantial change to the format occurring the subsequent year to implement Gatsby 34. He noted that the change would be a major undertaking. He added that the City had been

awarded the Certificate of Excellence for Financial Reporting annually for the past ten years.

**6. ITEMS BY CITY ATTORNEY**

(None Scheduled)

**7. BY CITY CLERK**

(None Scheduled)

**8. ITEMS BY CITY MANAGER  
(Includes Consent Agenda)**

**8.A. Preview of upcoming Commission meetings.**

**8.B. Consideration of Release of Liens on Unit Connection Fee Mortgages.**

**8.C. Ratification of Memorandum of Understanding with the Coastal Florida Police Benevolent Association.**

**8.D. Consideration of Tax Deed Sale relative to property located at 83 Park Place.**

**MOTION**

**Commissioner Lennon MOVED to approve Items 8.A. through 8D. on the Consent Agenda. Commissioner Burk SECONDED the motion.**

**VOTE ON MOTION**

**AYES: Lennon, Burk, Crichlow, Jones, Gardner**

**NAYES: None**

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

**9. ITEMS BY MAYOR AND COMMISSIONERS**

Commissioner Crichlow – Flags

Commissioner Crichlow acknowledged the flying of the flags in recognition and

support of the troops serving their Country in Iraq.

Commissioner Jones – Town Hall Meeting

Commissioner Jones noted that he would be holding a Town Hall Meeting on Wednesday, March 26<sup>th</sup>, at the Evelyn Hamblin School Auditorium in West Augustine.

Commissioner Burk – Docks

Commissioner Burk stated that she had received an abundance of response from the public regarding docks. She clarified that the City Commission did not deny docks as a rule, and that the issue of docks actually only went before the Commission on appeal.

Commissioner Lennon – Class

Commissioner Lennon stated that the Mayor had withheld his approval for him to attend a seminar with the International Board and teach a course. He requested that the Commission over rule the Mayor's position.

Mayor Gardner requested information regarding the nature of the course that the Commissioner would be instructing.

Commissioner Lennon replied that he intended to teach an International Protocol Class.

Commissioner Crichlow questioned how the course related to his duties on the Commission.

Commissioner Lennon replied that international visitors came to St. Augustine, and it was important for the Commission to know the protocol for telephone calls etc. when relating to those visitors.

Mayor Gardner questioned whether Paul Williamson would be attending the seminar.

Commissioner Lennon expressed his hope that he would.

Commissioner Crichlow questioned the cost of attending the seminar.

Commissioner Lennon replied that the cost was \$442.00 for three nights at \$89.00 a night.

Commissioner Crichlow clarified that it covered the cost of registration.

Commissioner Burk added that there would be travel costs and meals.

Mayor Gardner said that the Commissioner had attended the seminar previous years, and he questioned whether it was an updated class or a different class.

Commissioner Lennon said that the class was different each year, and he had been asked to speak regarding the City's relationship with Aviles Spain.

Commissioner Crichlow questioned whether he was charged to teach the course.

Commissioner Lennon replied that the International Board did not have funding.

Commissioner Jones questioned what the Mayor had considered when denying the request.

Mayor Gardner replied that his first consideration had been the return value to the City. He admitted that he had not spoken with the Commissioner regarding that value.

Mr. Wilson stated that if the Mayor stood by his initial position the matter would require a vote to overturn the position.

**MOTION**

**Commissioner Lennon MOVED to overturn the Mayor's position. Commissioner Jones SECONDED the motion.**

**VOTE ON MOTION**

**AYES: Lennon, Jones, Crichlow**

**NAYES: Burk, Gardner**

**MOTION CARRIED 3/2**

Commissioner Lennon noted that some years earlier expense accounts had been taken out of the hands of the City Manager. He stated that the Mayor should not have that authority to direct the Commissioners how to use their time. He suggested that the authority of expense accounts be returned to the City Manager, and should he have any questions about requests from the Commissioners he could bring the matter before the Commission. He noted that in spite of his employment he devoted a lot of time in service to the City by going to Tallahassee etc., and he did not believe that such decisions should be in the hands of the Mayor. He pointed out that the Mayor truly had no more authority than the other members of the Commission.

**MOTION**

**Commissioner Lennon MOVED to put the matter (of Commission expense accounts) into the hands of the City Manager. Commissioner Burk SECONDED the motion.**

Mayor Gardner stated that it was his understanding that the City Manager had the power to approve expense accounts unless he questioned a request.

Commissioner Lennon stated that currently the Mayor authorized all financial requests from the Commissioners.

Commissioner Burk agreed that all the Commissioners were elected officials that had to answer to the people. She stated that another reason for seconding the motion was to avoid having a vote as aforementioned. She noted it would be better to have expense accounts addressed on a staff level than bringing it to the Commission table.

A brief discussion resulted regarding the operating rules and procedures of the Commission

Mayor Gardner stated that in the public interest he would prefer to see any kind of expenses incurred by the Commissioners brought before the Commission.

Commissioner Lennon pointed out that it was not the Mayor's concern.

Mayor Gardner stated that the public had a right to know when any of the Commissioners spent money.

Commissioner Burk noted that the information only went before the Commission in the event that the Mayor denied a request. She added that each of the Commissioners were equal and should be responsible for their expenses. She added that the Commission expenses were a matter of public record.

Mayor Gardner stated that he did not have a problem with that, and he would just as soon not make those decisions. He suggested periodic reports delivered during Commission meetings.

Mr. Cubbedge suggested that if the Commission moved he would work out

some details for their consideration for the subsequent meeting.

Mr. Wilson stated that some Cities allotted a certain travel budget for the Commissioners discretion, and if that budget was exceeded the matter would go before the Commission for amendment.

Commissioner Jones agreed that he would prefer that the matter had not been an item for discussion, but he also did not want his employee (City Manager) approving his expense account. He suggested that a dollar amount should be established and staff should bring recommendations to the Commissioners for consideration.

**MOTION**

**Commissioner Jones MOVED to table the discussion. Mayor Gardner SECONDED the motion.**

**VOTE ON MOTION**

**AYES: Jones, Gardner, Crichlow, Burk, Lennon**

**NAYES: None**

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

**9.A/ Presentation of proposed plan regarding street performers – Mayor Gardner.**

Mayor Gardner – Street Performers (Buskers) – Draft Plan

Mayor Gardner notified the public that copies of his street performer draft plan were on the table at the rear of the room. He stated that it was not meant to be a final plan, as reinstating the performers on St. George or adjacent streets in the historic district must be by consensus of the entire community. He suggested that the Commission schedule a workshop to further address the issue.

Commissioner Lennon noted that there were currently people in the audience who anticipated a discussion.

Mayor Gardner stated that there would not be discussion during that meeting.

**MOTION**

**Commissioner Jones MOVED to authorize staff to coordinate a day during the week of April 7<sup>th</sup> for a workshop to discuss the street performers draft plan. Commissioner Burk SECONDED the motion.**

Mayor Gardner urged that the workshop be held during the evening hours.

**VOTE ON MOTION**

**AYES: Jones, Burk, Crichlow, Lennon, Gardner**

**NAYES: None**

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY** <sup>3</sup>

Mayor Gardner stated that it had come to his attention that due to the Easter Holiday it would be better to conduct the workshop after the holiday.

After a brief discussion it was determined that an evening workshop meeting would be held during the first week of May.

**9.B/ Update on lands adjacent to Fort Mose – Mayor Gardner.** <sup>4</sup>

Susan Grandan, Jacksonville, representing The Trust for Public Land, distributed a map and photographs stating that the trust had the two pieces of property under option that flanked the Fort Mose site owned by the State. She indicated the area on the map, and

<sup>3</sup> A brief recess from 7:30 until 7:50 p.m.

<sup>4</sup> Item 9.B. was heard after Item 5.A.

explained the photographs to the Commissioners.

Mayor Gardner requested an outline of the procedure.

Ms. Grandan noted that the window of opportunity to save the property was quite small, as the property owners were developers that wanted to commence with their developmental plans. She said that they had to notify the developers whether they would buy the property by May 2<sup>nd</sup>, and be prepared to close on the property 30-days later. She said that she would approach the County Commissioners the following day to find out whether the County would buy the property from the trust. She clarified that the trust worked as intermediaries to save property. She said her understanding was that St. Johns County would apply for Florida Communities Trust grant money from the State, which would pay up to 75% of the land acquisition cost. She explained that the funding was determined by a point scoring process; moreover, the Fort Mose area should score well. She stated that it was an important piece of property, and it was the last opportunity to buy it.

Commissioner Lennon questioned whether the 75% reimbursement was guaranteed and where the other 25% would come from.

Ms. Grandan explained that the County would purchase the property from FCT and apply for the grant and the remaining 25% would be taken from County funds.

Commissioner Burk questioned what role the City could play.

Ms. Grandan replied that the County would appreciate money.

Commissioner Jones pointed out that the City paid County taxes.

Ms. Grandan stated that she was really looking for support from the City in the form of a resolution to the County.

Commissioner Jones noted that the City had already approved a resolution for Fort Mose. He questioned whether the resolution should be amended and resubmitted.

Commissioner Burk agreed that the resolution could be more specific.

After a brief discussion it was determined that the Commission would provide verbal support.

Charlie Hotchkins, 190 Nix Boatyard Road, stated that he had a taxpayer's interest in the project. He said that there was property costing \$400,000 that adjoined to the Super 8 Motel that was for sale for \$900,000 making a total of \$1.3 million or half the cost of what the trust was proposing to spend. He said that Mr. Kinnard planned to sell seven lots where million dollar homes would be built and occupy about 13% of the 16 acres without blocking the view. He stated that the motel was located at a traffic signal with high visibility, and the existing building could be adapted for a museum with an unobstructed view of the fort sites. He said that the first fort site was at the rear of the Motel 8, which could be rebuilt in the future. He noted that not developing the property would constitute a \$22 million dollar tax loss to the City. He added that the FCT offer was not for the original site, it was not as accessible, and it was more expensive. He said that the Fort Mose Society voted to pursue purchase of the motel and Pacetti Tract because of the location, price and amenities. He

suggested further study before buying the property.

Commissioner Crichlow questioned where the property was located.

Mr. Hotchkin replied that it was the 19 acres south of the Kinnard property.

Mayor Gardner pointed out that there was approximately one buildable acre on the Pacetti tract.

Mr. Hotchkin agreed but noted that there was an unvacated street between the two pieces of property and a lot of room behind the hotel.

Commissioner Jones stated for the record that he was not present when the Fort Mose Society had voted on the property.<sup>5</sup>

Lorenzo Laws, President, Fort Mose Historical Society, explained that the Motel 8 property had been considered as an alternative location at a time when the society thought there were no other options available. He said that after further discussion with the State of Florida, the State had indicated that it would not purchase a hotel; therefore the location was removed from consideration. He stated that it was a historic moment for Fort Mose when they had the opportunity to preserve the national treasure for future generations. He said that it had taken many people years of dedication to arrive at the current situation. He noted that the intention of the society was to create a world class heritage tourism attraction that would reflect an economic impact on St. Augustine. He read a few e-mails from people young and old expressing their interest in Fort Mose. He requested the Commission's support to make the society's vision a reality.

---

<sup>5</sup> End of audio tape one

Commissioner Crichlow questioned whether the original fort site was on the Pacetti property.

Mr. Laws replied that was correct.

Commissioner Crichlow questioned why that piece of property was not a priority. Ms. Grandan explained that when a FCT application was submitted it received points for certain things. She said one of the points would be an addition to an existing park; therefore to get to the Pacetti property the Kinnard property had to be purchased.

Commissioner Crichlow said that he was referring to the McQuaig property, and he questioned why the Pacetti property was not a higher priority than the McQuaig property.

Ms. Grandan responded that the McQuaig site was most conducive for the 8,000 square foot visitor and interpretive center along with parking. She said that according to the State of Florida the Kinnard site would be the best place to connect from Rambla Street, which would serve as the park entrance because of the existing traffic signal on US 1.

Commissioner Jones pointed out that the Kinnard property was primarily marshland; therefore the McQuaig property was the only buildable property.

Ms. Grandan said that the Commissioner was correct, as the McQuaig property had six acres of uplands, and she pointed out that the Kinnard property had 4-5 acres of buildable property, which was best suited for boardwalks and overlooks.

Commissioner Lennon questioned the total acreage for the Pacetti property, and the response was that there were approximately 19-acres with one acre of upland.

Commissioner Crichlow noted that the property for the Motel 8 was all upland, highly visible and adjacent to the fort site.

Mr. Laws agreed; however, he said the State of Florida did not want to be involved with buying a hotel.

Steve Cupolo, 9299 July Lane, stated that he was a real estate broker with Premier Properties, and he was responsible for the current situation. He said that his office had done quite a bit of work with condominium and home development, and they had been approached by Phil Mays who had recently purchased the McQuaig property. He said Mr. Mays was interested in subdividing approximately 40 lots with the intention of building single family dwellings. He said his primary concern had been that the property was waterfront with environmental and conservation issues. He stated that shortly there after Charlie Kinnard had approached him, because he had purchased property to the south of Fort Mose and wanted Premier Properties to handle the development. However, he said that the political ramifications considering the close proximity to Fort Mose was a concern. He said shortly after the Commissioner's wish lists had been publicized indicating that Fort Mose was a priority, and he wondered why Mr. Kinnard had not been approached. He said that Mr. Kinnard's property could be developed with approximately eleven homes, seven of which would be waterfront, and the McQuaig property had uplands.

Commissioner Lennon again requested the acreage of the Pacetti property.

Ms. Grandan replied that it was approximately 53 acres and with the hotel approximately 58 acres.

## **MOTION**

**Commissioner Crichlow MOVED to urge the County Commission to move ahead in conjunction with the Trust for Public Land to acquire the two pieces of property adjacent to Fort Mose property (McQuaig/Mays and Kanaris/Kinnard properties). Commissioner Jones SECONDED the motion.**

Commissioner Lennon questioned whether any graves had been discovered at the Fort Mose property, and he was informed that none had been unearthed.

## **VOTE ON MOTION**

**AYES: Crichlow, Jones, Burk, Lennon, Gardner**

**NAYES: None**

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

## **10. APPEALS AND PUBLIC HEARINGS (Not pertaining to ordinances and resolutions)**

(None Scheduled)

## **11. RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES (To include public hearing)**

### **11. A/ Resolutions**

**11.A.1/ Consideration of Resolution 2003-04, supporting the creation of a new Metropolitan Planning**

**Organization (MPO) for St. Johns County incorporating the newly designated St. Augustine urbanized area.** <sup>6</sup>

**11.A.2/ Consideration of Resolution 2003-05, supporting the grant application for assistance under the Florida Inland Navigation District Waterways.**

Mr. Cubbedge stated that the Port Authority had delivered a presentation during the previous meeting regarding a river walk along the San Sebastian River. He said the proposed boardwalk extended along City property on the eastern bank of the river, over the King Street Bridge and continued south along the western bank of the river. He said the Port Authority had allocated funds to start preliminary work, and in addition FIND funding was available for the preliminary design and engineering. He said the resolution indicated that the City would participate with the Florida Inland Navigation District and be responsible for up to 50% of the cost of the work and the Port Authority would contribute \$75,000.

Commissioner Crichlow questioned how the design for the boardwalk could cost \$150,000, as the amount seemed high.

Mr. Cubbedge said that the funds were for numerous costs.

Commissioner Lennon questioned whether the FIND funding would affect the seawall funding.

Mr. Cubbedge stated that the proposed funding was unrelated to the seawall.

John Regan, Director, Utilities, said that the City was basically facilitating the

---

<sup>6</sup> A motion was made on Item 11.A.1.Resolution 2003-04 after Item 5.A.

project for the Port and Waterway Authority; therefore the authority had done the foot work. He explained that \$150,000 was a conservative high-side estimate prepared by Taylor Engineering for the authority; however, he would be surprised if the actual fees would be that high. He said that in the current FIND grant cycle there were not many projects competing for funding. He indicated that it was a project that had significant permitting issues regarding the environment, as well as design components.

Commissioner Crichlow questioned whether the design firm would secure the permits.

Mr. Regan stated that because the City was the applicant they would have to conduct the Request for Qualifications, Request for Proposals and negotiate fees. He said the process would be competitive; therefore, many firms would be interested. He said the City would be in a good position to negotiate the final contract.

Mayor Gardner questioned the status of the seawall.

Mr. Regan replied that the seawall project originated in the prior fiscal year, and on April 11<sup>th</sup> they would open the solicitations for Request for Qualifications. He said that almost 30 firms had shown interest in the project; therefore, he anticipated some excellent proposals. He said that currently there was no impact or competition between the seawall and the riverwalk project. He noted that, regarding FIND grant funding, there could not be a better year to make the application for the boardwalk. He stated that projects could become competitive in terms of funding, but if the City and County remained coordinated the timing could be workable

for all projects; therefore, he recommended approval of the project.

Commissioner Lennon said that it had come to his attention that some residents in the area were not happy with the proposed project. He said the boardwalk to nowhere could house the homeless, there was potential for people falling off the boardwalk, and there was the issue of general maintenance. He pointed out that the boardwalk was also proposed to border along industrial property. He said that after listening and considering he was not certain whether he approved of the boardwalk.

Mr. Regan noted that those were the issues that would be addressed during the designing/planning process. He noted that boardwalks were fairly popular with the Florida Navigation District, and said that he had visited some of them while researching the seawall project.

Commissioner Lennon pointed out that those boardwalks were in little Cities along the intercostal waterway, but the proposal was designated for a commercial/industrial area with fueling docks and boat repair.

Commissioner Crichlow stated that he supported the proposal because of the positive affect it might have on the area.

Commissioner Lennon stated that the concern of the citizens on the eastside of the San Sebastian was that in time the boardwalk would cast disapproval on the industries.

Mayor Gardner questioned how far the boardwalk would extend on the western side of the river, and he was informed almost to Oyster Creek Marina. He pointed out that Oyster Creek and English Landing housed upscale boats and were directly across from the area

zoned Marine Industrial, a zoning category that could not be altered easily.

Commissioner Lennon said that the industries concern was potential complaint from people viewing the industry from across the river.

Commissioner Crichlow noted that he was also concerned about the safety aspect of the proposed boardwalk.

Mayor Gardner noted that something would eventually happen to develop the Sebastian Inland Harbor property and the boardwalk would be a positive addition. He added that the boardwalk might inspire some of the property owners in the area to begin developing a waterfront ambience.

#### **MOTION**

**Commissioner Lennon MOVED to approve Resolution 2003-05. Commissioner Jones SECONDED the motion.**

#### **VOTE ON MOTION**

**AYES: Lennon, Jones, Crichlow, Burk, Gardner**

**NAYES: None**

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

Mr. Regan stated that he would present the Commissioners concerns during the Port and Waterway Authority meeting. He said that the money would not be accepted until October allowing the time to address all concerns.

Commissioner Crichlow reiterated his concern for safety, and he suggested that dockage and fishing possibilities should be addressed.

Mr. Regan stated that he would report the authority's response to the Commissions concerns.

**11.B/ Ordinances – First Reading**

**11.B.1/ Introduction and consideration of Ordinance 2003-10, adding Single Family Dwellings as a Permissible Use by Exception in the RGO and RGO-A Zoning Categories.**

Mark Knight, Director, Planning and Building Department, explained that the ordinance had originated as the result of a developer wanting to construct single family residential homes along SR 312, but the category was not listed as a use or a use by exception in the Residential General Office or Residential General A categories. He said that on March 4<sup>th</sup> the PZB had recommended that single family dwellings be a use by exception in RGO and RGO-A zoning categories.

Commissioner Jones stated that considering the categories currently included were light manufacturing, processing, packaging and fabricating, housing for the cognitively impaired and housing for the elderly, it would seem that multi-family dwellings should be considered before single family dwellings. He said, bearing in mind that it was virgin land proposed for single family dwellings by exception, it would be good to make developers aware that there could be no objection to housing for the elderly in the future. He said that he did not have a problem with the proposal, but he could envision it being an issue at sometime. He reiterated that he would like to see multi-family and affordable housing, as there was a need for that type of housing in the City.

Mr. Knight pointed out that the aforementioned were uses by exception only. He said the area was zoned medium density that allowed multi-family, office, limited commercial, and hotel as out right permitted uses. He

said more intense use like manufacturing would be a use by exception, which would have to go to hearing to be certain that it would not be an intrusion in the neighborhood.

No member of the public was present to speak at the public hearing.

**MOTION**

**Commissioner Crichlow MOVED that Ordinance 2003-10 be placed on first reading, read by title only and approved. Commissioner Lennon SECONDED the motion.**

Mr. Wilson read the title as follows:

ORDINANCE NO. 2003-10

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE, FLORIDA, AMENDING SECTIONS 28-165 AND 28-167 OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE TO ADD SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLINGS AS A PERMISSIBLE USE BY EXCEPTION IN THE RESIDENTIAL AND GENERAL OFFICE (RGO) AND RESIDENTIAL AND GENERAL OFFICE-A (RGO-A) ZONING CATEGORIES; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE CODE OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

**VOTE ON MOTION**

**AYES: Crichlow, Lennon, Burk, Jones, Gardner**

**NAYES: None**

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

**11.B.2/ Introduction and consideration of Ordinance 2003-11, amending the land use from Public/Semi-Public to Residential Low Density Mixed Use relative to property located at 11 Tremerton Street.**

Mr. Knight was sworn and stated that the property in question had formally been associated with Flagler Hospital and was currently the nursing/assisted living facility north of the Views of Baypoint Condominiums. He said that the property had been designated public/semi-public because of the association with Flagler Hospital, and after the hospital had been sold the property retained the designation. He said that subsequently a developer had requested a rezone to PUD while maintaining the land use designation to install the nursing/assisted living facility. He said that recently the property was for sale, but all interested buyers were discouraged because the use had to be government related, hence the request for change of land use to single family, multi-family, nursing or assisted living facilities, which consequently waived the right for height over 35-feet. He noted that the request relieved concerns of the neighbors.

**MOTION**

**Commissioner Lennon MOVED that Ordinance 2003-11 be placed on first reading, read by title only and approved. Commissioner Burk SECONDED the motion.**

Mr. Wilson read the title as follows:

ORDINANCE NO. 2003-11

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, FUTURE LAND USE MAP, TO RECLASSIFY A PARCEL OF LAND LYING EAST OF THE INTERSECTION OF SOUTH STREET AND MARINE STREET, CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 1.58 ACRES IN THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE, FROM PUBLIC/SEMI-PUBLIC TO

RESIDENTIAL LOW DENSITY MIXED USE; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

**VOTE ON MOTION**

**AYES: Lennon, Burk, Crichlow, Jones, Gardner**

**NAYES: None**

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

**11.B.3/ Introduction and consideration of Ordinance 2003-12, amending the Planned Unit Development (PUD) relative to property located at 11 Tremerton Street.**

**MOTION**

**Commissioner Burk MOVED that Ordinance 2003-12 be placed on first reading, read by title only and approved. Commissioner Lennon SECONDED the motion.**

Mr. Wilson read the title as follows:

ORDINANCE NO. 2003-12

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE, FLORIDA, NAMING THE CORAL LANDING SEASIDE PUD AND AMENDING THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD) PURSUANT TO SECTION 28-289 OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

**VOTE ON MOTION**

**AYES: Burk, Lennon, Jones, Lennon, Gardner**

**NAYES: None**

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY <sup>7</sup>**

<sup>7</sup> End of audio tape two

**11.B.4/ Introduction and consideration of Ordinance 2003-13, amending the St. Augustine Municipal Firefighters' Pension Trust Fund.**

Mr. Cubbedge stated that the amendment was the result of a change in state and federal laws regulating retirement funds for Firefighters, which the union had brought to the City's attention. He said that the City's pension attorney verified that the changes were necessary for compliance.

**MOTION**

**Commissioner Jones MOVED that Ordinance 2003-13 be placed on first reading, read by title only and approved. Commissioner Burk SECONDED the motion.**

Mr. Wilson read the title as follows:

ORDINANCE NO. 2003-13

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE, AMENDING CHAPTER 20, PENSIONS AND RETIREMENT, ARTICLE V, FIREFIGHTERS' PENSION TRUST FUND, OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE; AMENDING SECTION 20-205, MAXIMUM PENSION; AMENDING SECTION 20-214, DIRECT TRANSFERS OF ELIGIBLE ROLLOVER DISTRIBUTIONS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY OF PROVISIONS; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HEREWITH; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

**VOTE ON MOTION**

**AYES: Jones, Burk, Crichlow, Lennon, Gardner**

**NAYES: None**

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

**11.B.5/ Introduction and consideration of Ordinance 2003-14, relating to residency requirements for City board members.**

Commissioner Jones questioned why the Code Enforcement, Adjustments and Appeals Board was not included in the Ordinance.

Mr. Wilson explained that by statute the board had to consist of City residents. He clarified that the ordinance would solidify that all board members had to be City residents.

Commissioner Lennon stated that the ordinance was a slap in the face of citizens who owned businesses, homes or property and paid tremendous taxes to the City. He said that he disagreed with eliminating that facet of the population from serving on a City board.

Commissioner Crichlow stated that he did not feel that someone who owned a piece of property in the City but lived outside the City should be telling City residents what to do. He said that if people wanted to be a part of the community they should move into the City.

Commissioner Lennon said that there were property owners on St. George Street that paid \$85,000 in taxes annually, and they should not be denied access to the community through serving on a board.

Commissioner Burk suggested that person move into the City.

**MOTION**

**Commissioner Burk MOVED that Ordinance 2003-14 be placed on first reading, read by title only and**

**approved. Commissioner Crichlow  
SECONDED the motion.**

Mr. Wilson read the title as follows:

ORDINANCE NO. 2003-14

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE, FLORIDA, AMENDING SECTION 28-57 AND SECTION 28-83 OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE RELATING TO RESIDENCY REQUIREMENTS FOR CITY BOARD MEMBERS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE CODE OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Mayor Gardner stated that he agreed with Commissioner Lennon, but for different reasons. He said there was a great wealth of talent in the community including people with a passion for St. Augustine that just did not live within City limits. He said that to him the community was not defined by the City limits. He gave examples of citizens who were experts within their field that guided the boards and would no longer be able to serve. He said as Commissioners it was their job to choose the best people for the boards; moreover, he did not foresee them choosing board members that would not truly serve the City.

Commissioner Crichlow said that he understood the Mayor, but he still believed that without the ordinance the Commission would be opening the door to people who really did not care about the ambience of St. Augustine.

Mayor Gardner stated that only the Commission could be questioned for allowing that, as the Commission should be aware of an applicant's agenda.

Commissioner Burk noted that a board of business people would exhibit a greater interest toward tourists.

Commissioner Crichlow stated that those people truly interested could serve as advisors to the boards.

Mayor Gardner suggested serious schooling at the board level.

Commissioner Crichlow stated that Mr. Whitehouse had prepared drafts for orientation manuals for PZB and HARB and would do the same for CEAAB.

Commissioner Jones noted for the public that there would be a public hearing on second reading. He said that he had argued against the ordinance during the previous meeting, but he would vote in favor to move the matter to public hearing, at which time he would make his decision.

**VOTE ON MOTION**

**AYES: Burk, Crichlow, Jones**

**NAYES: Lennon, Gardner**

**MOTION CARRIED 3/2**

**11.C/ Ordinance - First Reading -  
Public Hearing Required**

(None Scheduled)

**11.D/ Ordinances - Second Reading -  
Public Hearing**

**11.D.1/ Public Hearing - Ordinance  
2002-30, concerning voluntary  
annexation of property located west of  
N. Whitney Street and north of the  
Florida East Coast Railway C/L main  
track to Palatka.**

Mr. Knight noted that the property, which the applicant wanted to annex, was located on the backside of Maxwell Manner off North Whitney Street. He

said the request was to designate the property Residential Medium Density land use, and classify the zoning Residential General-One to construct apartments similar to those at Maxwell Manner. He said that the matter had gone before the Department of Community Affairs in Tallahassee, and they had decided not to issue an Objections, Recommendations, and Comments Report, which indicated that the department did not object to the change of land use designation.

Mayor Gardner opened the public hearing for the three related ordinances, but there was no response.

**MOTION**

**Commissioner Burk MOVED that Ordinance 2002-30 be placed on second reading, read by title only and approved. Commissioner Lennon SECONDED the motion.**

Mr. Wilson read the title as follows:

**ORDINANCE NO. 2002-30**

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE, FLORIDA, ANNEXING APPROXIMATELY 2.37 ACRES OF PROPERTY IN ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA, LYING WEST OF WHITNEY STREET AND NORTH OF THE FLORIDA EAST COAST RAILWAY C/L MAIN TRACK TO PALATKA, AS DESCRIBED HEREIN; REDEFINING THE BOUNDARY LINES OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE TO INCLUDE SAID PROPERTY; AMENDING SECTION 1.08 OF THE CHARTER LAWS OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE, FLORIDA; PROVIDING FOR FILING OF A COPY HEREOF WITH THE CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA, WITH THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE

OFFICER OF ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AND WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE CITY CODE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

**VOTE ON MOTION**

**AYES: Burk, Lennon, Crichlow, Jones, Gardner**

**NAYES: None**

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

**11.D.2/ Public Hearing - Ordinance 2002-31, establishing a land use designation of Residential Medium Density relative to property located west of N. Whitney Street and north of the Florida East Coast Railway C/L main track to Palatka.**

**MOTION**

**Commissioner Burk MOVED that Ordinance 2002-31 be placed on second reading, read by title only and approved. Commissioner Lennon SECONDED the motion.**

Mr. Wilson read the title as follows:

**ORDINANCE NO. 2002-31**

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, FUTURE LAND USE MAP, TO INCORPORATE AND DESIGNATE APPROXIMATELY 2.37 ACRES OF PROPERTY, LYING WEST OF WHITNEY STREET AND NORTH OF THE FLORIDA EAST COAST RAILWAY C/L MAIN TRACK TO PALATKA, AS RESIDENTIAL MEDIUM DENSITY; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

**VOTE ON MOTION**

**AYES: Burk, Lennon, Jones,  
Crichlow, Gardner**

**NAYES: None**

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

**11.D.3/ Public Hearing - Ordinance 2002-32, establishing a zoning classification of Residential General-one (RG-1) relative to property located west of N. Whitney Street and north of the Florida East Coast Railway C/L main track to Palatka.**

**MOTION**

**Commissioner Burk MOVED that Ordinance 2002-31 be placed on second reading, read by title only and approved. Commissioner Lennon SECONDED the motion.**

Mr. Wilson read the title as follows:  
ORDINANCE NO. 2002-31

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE, FLORIDA, ESTABLISHING THE ZONING FOR PROPERTY CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 2.37 ACRES LYING WEST OF WHITNEY STREET AND NORTH OF THE FLORIDA EAST COAST RAILWAY C/L MAIN TRACK TO PALATKA, AS MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED HEREINAFTER, TO THE CLASSIFICATION OF RESIDENTIAL GENERAL-ONE (RG-1); REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES OR PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HERewith; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

**VOTE ON MOTION**

**AYES: Burk, Lennon, Crichlow,  
Jones, Gardner**

**NAYES: None**

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

Harry Maxwell, 3333 Cedar Glen Way,  
acknowledged the Planning and Building Department staff for their help and

cooperation. He explained that he normally purchased existing apartments complexes, and he exhibited anticipation about building the new complex which would be a \$2.5 million dollar affordable housing project. He noted that some of the funds for the project were from St. Johns County. He stated that his intention was to revitalize a deprived area, and the Police Department had reported that the crime rate had dropped from 40 calls a month to zero calls. He said the rents would range from \$500-\$550 monthly.

**12. GENERAL PUBLIC PRESENTATIONS AND COMMENTS (15 minutes per presentations)**

(None)

**13. Adjournment**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 8:40 p.m. <sup>8</sup>

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

<sup>8</sup>Transcribed by Karen Rogers, Recording Secretary